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Purpose: To evaluate the error in T1 estimates using inversion-recovery-based T1 mapping due to imperfect inversion and to perform a systematic study of adiabatic inversion pulse designs in order to maximize inversion efficiency for values of transverse relaxation (T2) in the myocardium subject to a peak power constraint.

Methods: The inversion factor for hyperbolic secant and tangent/hyperbolic tangent adiabatic full passage waveforms was calculated using Bloch equations. A brute-force search was conducted for design parameters: pulse duration, frequency range, shape parameters, and peak amplitude. A design was selected that maximized the inversion factor over a specified range of amplitude and off-resonance and validated using phantom measurements. Empirical correction for imperfect inversion was performed.

Results: The tangent/hyperbolic tangent adiabatic pulse was found to outperform hyperbolic secant designs and achieve an inversion factor of 0.96 within ±150 Hz over 25% amplitude range with 14.7 μT peak amplitude. T1 mapping errors of the selected design due to imperfect inversion was ~4% and could be corrected to <1%.

Conclusions: Nonideal inversion leads to significant errors in inversion-recovery-based T1 mapping. The inversion efficiency of adiabatic pulses is sensitive to transverse relaxation. The tangent/hyperbolic tangent design achieved the best performance subject to the peak amplitude constraint. Magn Reson Med 000:000–000, 2013. © 2013 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.
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INTRODUCTION

T1 mapping in the myocardium provides a means for quantifying and detecting edema and/or fibrosis with elevated T1 (1–5). “Look-Locker” methods such as modified Look-Locker inversion-recovery (MOLLI) approach (6,7) based on inversion recovery assume a complete idealized inversion. It is generally appreciated that there is considerable spatial variation in transmit field strength (B1). Even at 1.5 T commonly used for cardiac MRI, the variation can be as high as 25%. To mitigate this problem, adiabatic inversion pulses (8–14) are often used to reduce the sensitivity to B1 inhomogeneity in cardiac applications such as Gadolinium-enhanced imaging (15,16) and parametric mapping. The hyperbolic secant (HS) design (12) has been used extensively and provides excellent inversion homogeneity over a wide range of both B1 and off-resonance (80). However, although the inversion is homogeneous, it is not necessarily complete, which has important implications for the accuracy of T1 mapping.

Quantitative measurement of T1 based on inversion recovery leads to more stringent demands on the performance of the inversion. In this study, the effect of imperfect inversion on T1 measurement accuracy is characterized, and we perform a systematic study of the choice of design parameters used in adiabatic inversion pulses. Adiabatic inversion pulses used to mitigate inhomogeneity of transmit B1 field strength do not achieve perfect inversion as a result of transverse relaxation (T2) during the pulse (14). Shorter duration inversion pulses achieve better inversion but require higher peak power which may not be realizable. The trade-off of peak power versus inversion efficiency for various designs (12) has shown that designs which deliver more constant power achieve a specified inversion at a reduced peak power. However, these studies have not explicitly accounted for transverse relaxation. By incorporating realistic physiological tissue parameters (T1 and T2) into the design, it is possible to choose parameters with improved inversion efficiency for the specified design criteria (i.e., peak voltage, off-resonance bandwidth, and transmit B1 variation).

Imperfect adiabatic inversion is T2 dependent and leads to a T2-dependent error in inversion-recovery-based T1 estimates. A reduced T2 dependence is achieved as well as improved inversion efficiency by careful choice of design parameters. Empirical correction for imperfect adiabatic inversion may be used to further improve T1 measurement accuracy.

In addition to imperfect inversion, the MOLLI method incurs a number of errors in estimating T1. The use of a Look-Locker correction, which is an approximation (6,7), leads to estimates of T1 that are sensitive to the tissue T1 and T2, off-resonance, heart rate, as well as imaging protocol parameters such as the sampling strategy, flip angle, and matrix size. This study relates solely to the contribution of error to the inversion preparation.

THEORY

Influence of Imperfect Inversion

Inversion recovery is widely used for T1 mapping using Look-Locker methods. In applications such as cardiac MR, a modified Look-Locker (MOLLI) method (6,7) uses inversion recovery with multiple single-shot images at
different inversion times (TIs). Pixelwise parametric mapping is accomplished by performing a curve fit to the multiple T1 measurements. The original MOLLI mapping is accomplished by performing a curve fit to different inversion times (TIs). Pixelwise parametric

with the inversion factor

where 0 < t ≤ T. Design parameters for the tan/tanh are the duration T, peak amplitude B1max, frequency sweep fmax, and constants ζ and κ.

### METHODS

Adiabatic Inversion Design Optimization

The inversion factor was calculated for each adiabatic inversion design using Bloch equations. Optimization was performed by brute force over a range of design parameters. The design space was ±150 Hz and 25% variation in B1 field strength with a peak voltage constraint. The 25% amplitude range at 1.5 T in the heart was based on a limited study (n=4), which measured the variation in flip angle at 1.5 T using a saturated dual-flip-angle method (21). In this study (unpublished), data were acquired using two flip angles acquired on separate breath-holds using an adiabatic BIR-4 saturation pulse for each heart beat and a gradient recalled echo (GRE) echoplanar imaging (EPI) (echo train length 8) readout with single excitation per heartbeat. The actual flip angle was always found to be less than the prescribed flip
angle, i.e., 25\% variation defined as being in the range 0.8–1.0 times the prescribed flip angle. At 3 T, the variation in transmit flip angle is significantly greater, varying as much as 32–63\% (n = 10) across the left ventricle (LV) (22). The off-resonance variation across the heart may be significant due in large part to the interface between the heart and lung. The maximum deviation of off-resonance frequency variation across the LV at 1.5 T was determined to be 83 ± 36 Hz (n = 20) in a study (unpublished data) of quality assurance data with analysis approved by the NIH Office of Human Subject Research. Field map measurements were made as a byproduct of water fat separated imaging reconstruction using a multiecho GRE sequence with nonlinear least squares reconstruction (23). The off-resonance variation may be reduced by localized shimming. As the balanced steady-state free precession imaging sequence used in T1 mapping using the MOLLI approach is sensitive to off-resonance frequency (e.g., usable range < ±1/2TR with TR on order of 3 ms), a ±150 Hz requirement for uniform inversion was deemed adequate to not further limit the accuracy due to off-resonance in the heart.

The peak voltage of radiofrequency pulses is limited by both amplifier and specific absorption rate (SAR). In our experience, the amplifier constraint is the dominant limitation for this sequence as the inversion pulse occurs only every few heartbeats. The transmit voltage to achieve a specified B1 field is both system and patient dependent. Figure 1 shows the transmitter reference voltage required to achieve a 180° flip angle with a 1 ms pulse, corresponding to a B1 of 11.7 \mu T. The data were recorded for the purpose of quality control and is plotted for a large number of subjects imaged on three different 1.5 T scanners with different bore lengths and diameters. These quality assurance data (analysis approved by the NIH Office of Human Subject Research) serve as reference in selecting a design that will achieve the desired inversion efficiency over a wide range of subject loading. The highest reference voltages were required using the wide, short-bore scanner (Siemens Espree, Siemens Medical Solutions, Erlangen, Germany); for this model, the maximum amplifier voltage was determined to be ≈650 V, which is 1.3 times 500 V, which was the maximum reference voltage for the heaviest patients with greatest loading. Therefore, a worst-case limitation on peak B1 is 1.3 × 11.7 \mu T or ≈15 \mu T.

In view of the demands to achieve ideal inversion for quantitating T1 at low T2s representative of the myocardium, we revisit the performance of various adiabatic inversion pulses. The design considered HS\(n\) and tan/tanh pulses over the range T1 = 400–1600 ms and T2 = 45–250 ms. Design optimization included the pulse duration, frequency sweep bandwidth, and shape parameters. For each pulse design considered, the inversion factor was calculated for 30 frequencies across ±150 Hz and 20 B1 field amplitudes between 80 and 100\% of the maximum constraint. The design considered five maximum voltages corresponding to 1, 1.25, 1.5, 1.75, and 2 times the reference voltage for a 1-ms 180 pulse equivalent to B1 field strengths of 11.7, 14.7, 17.6, 20.5, and 23.5 \mu T. For HS\(n\) designs, the parameters were as follows: n = 1, 2, 4, and 8; duration = 2.56, 5.12, and 10.24 ms; one-sided frequency sweep \(f_{\text{max}} = 250–500\) Hz in 200 Hz steps; and \(\beta = \text{asech}(x)\) in 16 steps, \(x = 0.005–0.08\). For tan/tanh designs, the parameters were as follows: duration = 1.28, 2.56, and 5.12 ms; one-sided frequency sweep \(f_{\text{max}} = 7000–15,000\) Hz in 1000 Hz steps; \(\zeta = 8–20\) in steps of 2; and tan(x) from 10 to 30 in steps of 2. The brute-force search selected the set of design parameters that had the highest inversion factor calculated over the full range of frequency and amplitude calculated at 600 amplitude and frequency pairs times 5 peak voltages for each set of parameters. There were \(4 \times 3 \times 24 \times 16 = 4608\) HS\(n\) designs and \(3 \times 9 \times 7 \times 11 = 2079\) tan/tanh designs. Design optimization was performed at the minimum T2 = 45 ms with fixed T1 = 1000 ms.

**Phantom Validation**

Experimental validation used a set of CuSO\(_4\)-doped agar gel phantoms with varying concentrations with T1 and T2 in the expected range for myocardium, both native and with Gd contrast. Measurements were acquired using a custom research inversion-recovery spin-echo (SE) sequence with TR = 10 s at multiple T1s using a 1.5 T MAGNETOM Aera Scanner (Siemens Medical Solutions), which enabled testing of several inversion pulse designs. The T1 and the inversion factor \(\delta\) were estimated using a three-parameter fit, i.e., \(M(\phi) = M_0 \left[1 -(1 + \delta)\exp(-\delta/t\text{T1})\right]\), which assumes complete relaxation (TR << T1) and the inversion factor is denoted by \(\delta\). T2 was measured using exponential fit to SE measurements with TR = 10 s and varying echo times. Transmit field strength was measured using the dual-flip-angle method (24) to ensure the correct transmit power level, and off-resonance was measured using a multiecho GRE.
field mapping sequence to ensure that the data were acquired on-resonance.

**T1 Correction for Imperfect Inversion**

The proposed empirical correction was applied to the SE T1 estimate as described below to emulate the Look-Locker correction used in the MOLLI method without incurring other errors that affect MOLLI method, namely the use of balanced steady-state free precession imaging as well as the influence of successive measurements on previous measurements. In this manner, the error due to imperfect inversion may be separated from the other errors that confound MOLLI method. Define the T1 estimate (T1SE) using the SE sequence as the apparent T1 from the three-parameter fit $S(t) = A - B \exp(-t/T1_{SE})$ as there is negligible influence between measurement using a long TR $\gg$ T1. In the case of SE imaging, a Look-Locker correction $(B/A - 1)$ as used in the MOLLI method is unnecessary; for perfect inversion, the factor $B/A - 1 = 1$, and for imperfect inversion, $B/A - 1 = \delta$. However, to calculate the effect of imperfect inversion due to the Look-Locker correction used in MOLLI method, the correction $(B/A - 1)$ was applied to the apparent T1SE with and without the added empirical correction factor $\delta$. The Look-Locker-corrected T1SE estimates, which serve as surrogates for the analysis of MOLLI method error due to the inversion pulse, may be further corrected for the imperfect adiabatic inversion. These estimates were defined as:

$$T1_{uncorrected} = (B/A - 1) \times T1_{SE}$$  

and

$$T1_{corrected} = (B/A - 1)T1_{SE}/\delta,$$

where $\delta = \delta(T1, T2)$ was the inversion factor calculated for $T1 = 1000$ and $T2 = 45$ ms, taken as approximate values for native myocardium. Absolute and percent errors were computed against the relaxation time $T1_{SE}$ as the ground truth.

**RESULTS**

The inversion factor calculated for a variety of designs (Fig. 2) shows that for short $T2 = 45$ ms, the inversion factor is significantly improved [closer to ideal] for the tan/tanh design with duration 2.56 ms (black dots) than any of the HSn designs for all specified peak B1 amplitudes that are greater than 14 $\mu$T. The best HS1 design would require almost twice the peak amplitude to achieve the same inversion factor. The HS1 outperformed the HS8 at this low value of $T2$. A tan/tanh design marked by the green box labeled with “A” ($f_{max} = 9.5$ kHz, $\zeta = 10$, tan($\pi$) = 22) was selected as it achieved an inversion factor of 0.96 for 14.7 $\mu$T, which was realizable on all scanners under worst-case patient loading conditions (with peak amplitude $\sim$25% higher than the reference voltage in Fig. 1). The HS1 design marked by the green box labeled with “B” ($10.24$ ms, $f_{max} = 535$ Hz, $\beta = 3.42$) was chosen for experimental comparison as this design was used in a previously reported MOLLI implementation (7). Adiabatic inversion pulses are used in HS1 designs to produce homogenous inversion over a wide bandwidth and are widely used across many platforms. This specific HS1 with an inversion factor of 0.92 at 11.7 $\mu$T is used more generally on our system and was designed to a different criterion at a reduced peak amplitude. This HS1 design does not achieve the best inversion factor for the specified design criteria used here, but rather has higher bandwidth.

The adiabatic condition achieves independence of B1 transmit field strength but does not achieve perfect inversion (Fig. 3) due to T2 relaxation during the pulse, shown for both HS1 design “B” and tan/tanh design “A” on-resonance. The magnetization (Mz) versus B1 and off-resonance is graphed (Fig. 4) for both designs. Note the contours that indicate the inversion factor; the optimized pulse achieves improved inversion over the design region indicated by the dotted green box. The inversion factor $\delta(T1, T2)$ is dependent on both T1 and T2 (Fig. 5). The tan/tanh design exhibits both higher inversion factor...
as well as greatly reduced sensitivity to \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) when compared with the HS1 design. For the tan/tanh design “A,” the inversion factor varies from 0.96 to 0.99 over a wide range of \( T_2 \) from 40 to 250 ms, with very little variation with \( T_1 \) from 400 to 1600 ms, whereas the HS1 design “B” varies considerably with both \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) (Fig. 5).

Phantoms were constructed with \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) in the range expected for myocardium, and \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) were measured using a SE sequence (Fig. 6). The measured inversion factor based on the three-parameter fit is greatly improved for the tan/tanh design (Fig. 7) when compared with the HS1 reducing the uncorrected error in myocardial \( T_1 \) from \( \sim 10\% \) to \( < 5\% \). Figure 7 shows both the measured and simulated inversion factors for each phantom, in which the simulated data are calculated for each phantom using the corresponding measured \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) (Fig. 6c).

FIG. 4. Response of adiabatic inversion pulse for \( T_1 = 1000 \text{ ms} \) and \( T_2 = 45 \text{ ms} \) using HS1 design “B” (left) and tan/tanh (right) design “A.” Design region is indicated by dotted green box (25\% amplitude range, \( \pm 150 \text{ Hz} \)).

FIG. 5. Dependence of adiabatic inversion factor on \( T_2 \) for 10.24 ms HS1 design “B” (dashed) and 2.56 ms tan/tanh design “A” (solid) designs for \( T_1 = 400, 1000, \) and 1600 ms. Note that design “A” has both higher inversion factor as well as reduced sensitivity to \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \).

FIG. 6. Measurements of phantom \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \): (a) \( T_1 \) map, (b) \( T_2 \) map, and (c) \( T_1 \) and \( T_2 \) values for each phantom tube.
Empirical correction may be applied to T1 maps to reduce the error due to imperfect inversion. The estimated T1 after Look-Locker correction versus true T1 measured by SE with and without empirical correction for imperfect inversion for both HS1 and tan/tanh designs (Fig. 8) shows that the T2-dependent correction leads to a reduced error for the tan/tanh design. For the tan/tanh pulse design “A,” imperfect inversion leads to a T1 error of $\frac{1}{2\%}$, which may be corrected within $1\%$ over the range of T1 and T2 values tested. The HS1 design “B” has an error of $\frac{10}{2\%}$ and may be corrected to within $3\%$, leading to overcorrection using this method.

**DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS**

Adiabatic inversion pulses are commonly used to achieve homogeneous inversion over a range of transmit field strengths. Imperfect adiabatic inversion results from transverse relaxation (T2) during the pulse and may result in an error in estimates of T1 using inversion-recovery methods such as MOLLI (6,7) or other schemes that assume perfect inversion independent of the method of image readout. T1 mapping error due to imperfect inversion leads to an underestimation of T1 and compounds other sources of error which also tend to underestimate. Underestimation in the MOLLI approach (19,20) generally results from the approximation of the Look-Locker correction using SSFP readout with noncontinuous readout and is sensitive to tissue T1 and T2, off-resonance, heart rate, and a number of protocol parameters such as sampling strategy, flip angle, and matrix size. These errors lead to systematic bias errors that range from 5 to 10%, not including the error due to imperfect inversion. The proposed adiabatic pulse design and proposed correction reduces the error by $\sim 8\%$ when compared with the currently widely used HS design without correction. It improves the reproducibility by reducing the sensitivity of the inversion factor to T1 and T2. There is currently an active discussion over the magnitude of the error and what accuracy is required in order to be clinically useful. Clinical applications (1-4) are emerging that are based on subtle changes in apparent T1 to detect diffuse disease processes both in individuals and population studies. This study is aimed at improving the overall accuracy and developing a better understanding of an important factor that contributes to the overall error.

The measured values for inversion factor are systematically biased relative to the simulated values (Fig. 7). The measured factors are between 0.014 (T2 = 40 ms) and 0.009 (T2 = 80 ms) less than the simulated values for the proposed tan/tanh design “A,” and similarly 0.016 (T2 = 40 ms) and 0.006 (T2 = 80) less for the HS1 design “B.” The resulting approximate 1% discrepancy between the measurement and simulation may be due to experimental error or possibly due to the simulation model not accounting for all effects that influence inversion efficiency. Although this discrepancy is not fully resolved, the inversion factor of the proposed design is clearly improved by a significant amount when compared with the current HS1 design.

A systematic study of the design of adiabatic inversion pulses was undertaken with the goal of reducing the
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quantitative error in T1 mapping. The inversion efficiency may be improved by using a shorter duration pulse with optimized parameters. Because of the peak power constraints, a tan/tanh design was found to achieve better inversion performance than HSn designs. Note that we use the term inversion factor \( \delta \) (also referred to as inversion number \( n_{i} \); Ref. 13)), which is related to inversion efficiency defined as \( e = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \delta) \) in much of the literature on adiabatic pulses (13,14). Although it is reported (10–14) that the HS8 has a significant reduction in peak B1 strength relative to the HS1 to achieve a specified inversion factor, this relationship does not account for T2 relaxation during the pulse. For T2 on the order of 45 ms, relaxation has a strong effect on the inversion performance and leads to a different design choice. This study was limited to design optimization of HSn and tan/tanh pulses with analytic expressions. Numerically optimized pulses (13,25) might offer further performance improvement.

Reduced dependence on both T1 and T2 facilitate a calibrated empirical correction of T1 estimates to further reduce the T1 error observed during T1 mapping due to imperfect inversion. Although it is possible to estimate the inversion parameter directly in the T1 mapping sequence by acquiring additional images without inversion and performing a four-parameter fit, this approach requires considerably longer acquisition and further sacrifices precision due to the noise sensitivity with added degrees of freedom (26).
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